

Responsible Officer	Dean of Research			
Superseded Documents	Research Active Policy for the Purposes of RHD Supervision (Approved BoS 26/02/2010)			
Review Date	Review commencement date: 02/2017			
Associated Documents	Faculty Research and Scholarship Development Plan Higher Degree Research Supervision Policy Register of HDR Supervisors			
Access Flag	Student Public			
Version	Endorsed By	Approved By	Approval Date	Effective Date
2.0	Principal	Governing Board	20/08/2013	20/08/2013

1. PURPOSE

All members of faculty are expected to undertake academic research as part of their role as teachers, pastors and scholars within the College community. As teachers their teaching should be informed by research. For the purposes of supervising Higher Degree Research (HDR) students, some are designated as Research Active and listed on the College's Register of HDR Supervisors.

2. BACKGROUND

Amendments to research categories and weightings arise from recommendations of the External Advisory Committee. The policy is benchmarked against similar policies of Australian College of Theology and Sydney College of Divinity and specifications in the Australian government's current edition of Higher Education Research Data Collection (HERDC).

3. SCOPE

This policy is for faculty, supervisors and candidates.

4. POLICY STATEMENT

- For the purpose of supervising a HDR candidate a faculty member must accrue at least five (5) points in the preceding five (5) calendar years.
- Research points may be accrued from any of the following categories.

Research Output	Points
Category A – Research	
Book from a commercial publisher	5
Translating and publishing a book with commentary on a scholarly text	3
Chapter in a book from a commercial publisher	1
Article in a refereed journal	1
Chapters in conference proceedings	1
Performance/showing of a creative work as recognized and peer-reviewed within the discipline	1

Receiving external research income of more than \$10,000	1
Category B – Scholarship (reflection of standing in the field)	
External research grant for a scheme on the Australian Competitive Research Grants Register	1
Presenting a refereed conference paper at an academic conference	1
Editorial role on refereed journals [or monographic series]	1
Invited public lecture pertaining to the area of academic expertise	1
Major piece of unpublished work publicly accessible and available for peer review	1

Category C – Supervision and Supervisor Professional Development	
Principal supervisor – successful completion of one doctoral candidate	3
Principal supervisor – successful completion of one research masters candidate	2
Co-supervisor – successful completion of two doctoral candidates	2
Co-supervisor – successful completion of two research masters candidates	1
Examination of higher degree research theses	1
Participation in professional development on supervision provided by College	1

3. For the first five (5) years after successful completion of a PhD, the PhD counts as three (3) points.
4. Papers delivered at conferences count as a conference paper once but may not be Counted again when published.
5. The delivery of the Annual Moore College Lectures counts as five (5) conference papers.
6. The Academic Board may, on the recommendation with reasons of the Research and Centres Committee (RACC), approve listing a faculty member as research active (not otherwise qualified) if in the opinion of the RACC that faculty member is sufficiently conversant with the relevant scholarship to supervise a research masters thesis.

5. LEGAL AND POLICY FRAMEWORK

Australian Qualifications Framework. 2nd ed. 2013.

Higher Education Standards Framework (Threshold Standards) 2011. Chapter 3: Provider Course Accreditation Standards. Section 1.8.

6. DEFINITIONS

Term	Definition
Research	Research is defined as the creation of new knowledge and/or the use of existing knowledge in a new and creative way so as to generate new concepts, methodologies and understandings. This could include synthesis and analysis of previous research to the extent that it leads to new and creative outcomes. (HERDC Apr 2013, 7)

7. IMPLEMENTATION

1. The Dean of Research is responsible for the implementation of the policy.
2. The Registrar's Dept. is responsible for data collection and maintaining the Register of HDR Supervisors.

8. REVIEW AND HISTORY

Version	Approved By	Approval Date	Effective Date	Sections modified
1.0	BoS	26/02/2010	26/02/2010	New policy
2.0	Governing Board	20/08/2013	20/08/2013	Full review and revision